arXiv:solv-int/9801011v1 8 Jan 1998

FACTORIZATION AND THE DRESSING METHOD FOR THE GEL'FAND-DIKII HIERARCHY

D.H. Sattinger & J.S. Szmigielski

The University of Minnesota and the University of Kansas

Abstract. The isospectral flows of an n^{th} order linear scalar differential operator L under the hypothesis that it possess a Baker-Akhiezer function were originally investigated by Segal and Wilson from the point of view of infinite dimensional Grassmanians, and the reduction of the KP hierarchy to the Gel'fand-Dikii hierarchy. The associated first order systems and their formal asymptotic solutions have a rich Lie algebraic structure which was investigated by Drinfeld and Sokolov. We investigate the matrix Riemann-Hilbert factorizations for these systems, and show that different factorizations lead respectively to the potential, modified, and ordinary Gel'fand-Dikii flows. Lie algebra decompositions (the Adler-Kostant-Symes method) are obtained for the modified and potential flows. For $n > 3$ the appropriate factorization for the Gel'fand-Dikii flows is not a group factorization, as would be expected; yet a modification of the dressing method still works. A direct proof, based on a Fredholm determinant associated with the factorization problem, is given that the potentials are meromorphic in x and in the time variables. Potentials with Baker-Akhiezer functions include the multisoliton and rational solutions, as well as potentials in the scattering class with compactly supported scattering data. The latter are dense in the scattering class.

1. The Gel'fand-Dikii hierarchies

Gel'fand and Dikii [GD] constructed a hierarchy of isospectral flows of the n^{th} order scalar differential operator

$$L = \sum_{j=0}^{n} u_j(x) D^{n-j}; \quad D = \frac{1}{i}\frac{d}{dx}; \quad u_0 = 1, \quad u_1 = 0.$$

where $u_j = u_j(x),\ j > 2$, are elements of the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. The flows are given by

$$\dot{L} = [L_+^{k/n}, L], \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{1.1}$$

where $k \neq 0 \mod n$, and $L_+^{k/n}$ denotes the differential part of $L^{k/n}$ considered as a pseudodifferential operator. We shall refer to the coefficients u_j of L as the potential. The coefficients of $L_+^{k/n}$ are obtained by solving formal recursion relations and are universal differential polynomials in the $\{u_j\}$.

The forward and inverse scattering problem for L has been investigated for potentials in $\mathcal{S}$, and the Gel'fand-Dikii flows for potentials in this class have been constructed by Beals [B], and Beals, Deift, and Tomei [BDT]. Action-angle variables for flows in $\mathcal{S}$ were constructed in terms of the scattering data by Beals and

This research was supported in part by N.S.F. Grants DMS-8901607 and DMS-9123844

Sattinger [BS1]. Segal and Wilson [SW] investigated these flows under the ansatz that L possess a wave function of Baker-Akhiezer type: $w(x,z)\exp\{ixz\}$, where w is analytic at $z=\infty$ and takes the value 1 there. From now on, we denote this class of potentials by $\mathcal{B}$. They analysed the flows from the point of view of infinite dimensional Grassmanians, and the reduction of the KP hierarchy to the Gel'fand-Dikii hierarchy.

The n^{th} order scalar equations can be converted to $n\times n$ first order systems. These have a rich Lie algebraic structure (cf. Drinfeld and Sokolov [DS]), just as the Dirac equation rather than the Klein Gordon equation exhibits the internal symmetries of the electron. All reductions are gauge equivalent, but besides the Gel'fand-Dikki flows, one finds others, such as the modified and potential Gel'fand-Dikii flows. We analyze the matrix Riemann-Hilbert problems corresponding to the first order systems. For potentials in $\mathcal{B}$, the formal asymptotic series in [DS] are actually convergent.

Given a Baker-Akhiezer function, it is easily seen that L has a basis of such wave functions. On the other hand, there is always a basis of wave functions which are entire in z. Denoting the Wronskian of this basis by ϕ_+ and that of the basis of Baker-Akhiezer functions by ϕ_- we form the quotient $g=\phi_-^{-1}\phi_+$. The matrices $\phi_\pm$ can be chosen in such a way that g is a function only of $\lambda=z^n$. Conversely, appropriate Riemann-Hilbert factorizations of a given a matrix $g(\lambda)$ together with a standard argument (the so-called "dressing method"), yields a potential in $\mathcal{B}$. Thus, while we cannot precisely characterize the class of potentials $\mathcal{B}$, we nevertheless can construct them by solving a factorization problem.

All conversions of the n^{th} order equation to a first order system are gauge equivalent, and the entries of L are differential polynomials in the entries of the potential q [DS]. The canonical conversion (by Wronskians) leads to the Gel'fand-Dikii flows themselves. The modified Gel'fand-Dikii flows are obtained by first factoring L as a product of n first order operators, and constructing a system of AKNS type. We investigate in particular the standard and modified Gel'fand-Dikii flows together with a third type we call the "potential" flows. All three are obtained by factoring exactly the same matrix g, but taking the factors in different infinite dimensional submanifolds. For the potential and modified flows, that submanifold is a subgroup and the components of the connections are obtained by Lie (or loop) algebra decompositions (à la Adler-Kostant-Symes). For the Gel'fand-Dikii flows themselves the submanifold is not a group for $n>3$. A Lie algebra decomposition is not available, but the dressing argument need only be modified slightly.

Schilling [Sch] obtained a Lie algebra decomposition for the first order system of equations for $\phi_j=L_+^{(j-1)/n}v,\ j=1,\dots,n$, but did not consider the corresponding factorization problem and flows.

The hypothesis that L possess a Baker-Akhiezer function is a very strong one, as observed in [SW]. If q is rational in x the wave functions are in general multiple valued in the punctured neighborhoods of the poles of q; but if L has a Baker-Akhiezer function then the wave functions have trivial monodromy (see §2.3). Moreover, if q is *a priori* defined only on some interval I on the real line, and L has a Baker-Akhiezer function for $x\in I$, then q has a meromorphic extension to the entire complex plane (Corollary 3.1.7).

In the case $n=2$, assuming, say, that $(1+|x|)u_2\in L_1(\mathbb{R})$, the assumption implies

in z, then the reflection coefficient vanishes, and we have a so-called "reflectionless potential." Properly interpreted, the same holds for general n, so that in a sense the ansatz is a generalization of the notion of reflectionless potential. Segal and Wilson showed that the class $\mathcal{B}$ includes the multi-soliton solutions, the rational solutions, and in general the "algebro-geometric" solutions of the KdV equation. We show in this paper that the class $\mathcal{B}$ is actually somewhat larger, and in fact that $\mathcal{S}_0 \cap \mathcal{B}$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}_0$ (Theorem 2.1.7). ($\mathcal{S}_0$ is the class of potentials for which the scattering transform is well-defined in [BDT]).

We show, by arguments based on the Fredholm determinant of an operator of trace class associated with the factorization problem, that the potentials in $\mathcal{B}$ are meromorphic in x and in the time variables under the Gel'fand-Dikii flows. Such results were proved by Segal and Wilson [SW] using the theory of the τ function for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) hierarchy, together with the reduction of the KP hierarchy to the Gel'fand-Dikii flows. Our proof is similar in spirit but requires considerably less machinery; furthermore, it can be extended to other systems in the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy, as well as the $n \times n$ AKNS flows.

The non-vanishing of the Fredholm determinant for the system guarantees both a left and right factorization; whereas the non-vanishing of the τ function guarantees only a one-sided factorization.

The factorization technique used here provides an efficient computational algorithm for constructing the rational and multi-soliton solutions. We hope to take this up in a future paper.

The restricted class $\mathcal{B}$ is distinct from the potentials for which the scattering transform of L is well-defined. The "scattering class" of potentials is invariant under perturbations by functions in the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}$, whereas $\mathcal{B}$ is not. On the other hand, $\mathcal{B}$ includes the rational solutions, which, due to their slow decay, or to possible poles on the real axis, are not in the scattering class.

For generic potentials in the scattering class, the wave functions are meromorphic in sectors of the complex plane of angle π/n. The scattering class leads to a Riemann-Hilbert factorization problem on a set of rays Σ running from the origin to infinity, [BDT] while potentials in $\mathcal{B}$ are described by a factorization problem on a circle centered at the origin. This factorization problem is considerably simpler than that associated with the inverse scattering problem; moreover, it is closely tied to the infinite dimensional Lie Group/ Lie algebra structure associated with the first order systems [DS].

In §2 we develop the forward problem for potentials in $\mathcal{B}$ by showing that the ansatz leads to a matrix factorization problem on a circle centered at the origin in the complex λ plane.

In §3 we consider the inverse problem, formulated as a Riemann-Hilbert problem. The parameters x and t appear analytically in the factorization problem, and the solutions of the factorization problem are shown to be meromorphic in the parameters. The dressing method is used to construct a flat connection from the solutions of the factorization problem and show that the resulting connection potentials are meromorphic in x and t. Similar considerations arise in the proof of the Painlevé property for solutions of isomonodromy deformation problems [Mal] (cf. also Miwa, who proved the Painlevé property using the τ function.)

In §4 we discuss the infinite dimensional Lie structure of the factorization problem, using gradings of the algebra introduced by Drinfeld and Sokolov. In §5 we prove that the three factorizations lead to the standard, modified, and potential

Gel'fand-Dikii flows.

This work was carried out during the second author's visit to the IMA and the School of Mathematics in the summer of 1991. He would like to thank the IMA and the University of Minnesota for their hospitality. Both authors acknowledge valuable discussions with R. Beals, J. Dorfmeister, and I. Herbst concerning various aspects of scattering theory, factorization theory, and the Gel'fand-Dikii hierarchies. A number of techniques used in this paper came out of joint work between the first author and Richard Beals on the Gel'fand-Dikii flows and isomonodromy deformations.

We also benefitted from comments by the referee and H. Flaschka.

2. The forward problem

2.1 Reduction to a first order system. The scattering theory of L proceeds by converting the n^{th} order scalar equation $Lf = \lambda f$ to a first order system. Let $\phi_j = D^{j-1}f$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then the column vector $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n)^\dagger$ satisfies the first order system

$$(D - J_\lambda - q)\phi = 0, \tag{2.1.1}$$

where

$$J_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \dots & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad q = -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ & & & \dots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ u_n & u_{n-1} & \dots & u_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The linear space of all such q constitutes a special class of potentials, which we call the Gel'fand-Dikii potentials. For the rest of this section, q will denote a potential in this class. In general, we do not assume the entries of q are in the Schwartz class; but we say that $q \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ if each entry of q belongs to $\mathcal{S}$.

Matrix solutions of (2.1.1) are necessarily Wronskians, the entries of the first row being scalar solutions of $Lf = \lambda f$.

Let $f(x, z)$ be a Baker-Akhiezer function for L, α_j, $1 \le j \le n$, the n^{th} roots of unity, and let $f_j(x, z) = f(x, \alpha_j z)$. The Wronskian matrix $W = ||D^{k-1}f_j||$, $1 \le k, j \le n$, satisfies (2.1.1). From the asymptotic behavior of f we conclude

$$W \underset{z \to \infty}{\sim} ||D^{k-1}e^{ix\alpha_j z}|| = \Lambda_z e^{ixzJ_\alpha} = d(z)e^{ixzJ_\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha$$

where

$$J_\alpha = \operatorname{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \qquad d(z) = \operatorname{diag}(1, z, \dots, z^{n-1}), \qquad \Lambda_z = d(z)\Lambda_\alpha,$$

and

$$\Lambda_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & & \dots & \alpha_n \\ \alpha_1^2 & & \dots & & \alpha_n^2 \\ & & \dots & & \\ \alpha_1^{n-1} & & & & \alpha_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix function $\psi = d^{-1}W\Lambda_\alpha^{-1}$ satisfies

$$D\psi = (zJ + q(z))\psi \qquad \lim_{z\to\infty} \psi e^{-ixzJ} = 1 \tag{2.1.2}$$

where $q(z) = d^{-1}qd$, $d^{-1}J_\lambda d = zJ$, and

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \dots & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This proves:

2.1.3 Theorem. *L has a Baker-Akhiezer wave function iff (2.1.2) has a fundamental solution of the form $\psi = m(x,z)e^{ixzJ}$ where $m(x,z)$ is analytic at $z=\infty$ and tends to I there.*

Since $\operatorname{tr}(zJ+q) = 0$ it follows that $\det\psi$, and hence $\det m$ is constant in x. Since $m \to I$ as $z \to \infty$, $\det m = 1$ at infinity. We may therefore renormalize it so that $\det m$ is identically 1 in a neighborhood of infinity. From now on we shall refer to m as a Baker-Akhiezer function for (2.1.2).

We now discuss, briefly, the scattering data of L when $q \in \mathcal{S}$ [BDT]. Let $\Sigma = \{\xi : \operatorname{Re} ix\xi(\alpha_k - \alpha_j) = 0,\ j,k = 1,\dots,\ n\}$, and denote the $2n$ sectors which complement Σ by $\Omega_\nu,\ \nu = 1,2,\dots,2n$. Solutions of (2.1.2) are constructed in each Ω_ν for which the corresponding m_ν satisfies

$$Dm = [zJ, m] + q(z)m, \tag{2.1.4}$$

and the "radiation conditions"

$$\lim_{x\to-\infty} m(x,z) = I, \qquad \sup_x |m(x,z)| < +\infty, \tag{2.1.5}$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the sum of the absolute values of the entries of the matrix m. The wave function m_ν is uniquely determined, except possibly at a bounded discrete set Z, where it has poles. The points of Z may cluster only along the rays of Σ. Away from those cluster points, m_ν is continuous up to the boundary of Ω_ν. For a generic set of potentials, denoted by $\mathcal{S}_0$, Z consists of a finite set of points. For these q the boundary values of m exist everywhere on $\Sigma\backslash\{0\}$.

The scattering data consists of the multiplicative jumps (continuous component) of m across the rays of Σ, together with its principal part (discrete component) at points in Z. The continuous component of the scattering data is algebraically related, in the case $n = 2$, to the reflection coefficient. The multi-soliton potentials of $L = D^2 + u$ are examples of potentials in $\mathcal{B}\cap\mathcal{S}_0$ with a trivial multiplicative jump. These are typically referred to as "reflectionless potentials". We show below that for potentials in $\mathcal{B}\cap\mathcal{S}_0$ the multiplicative jumps are compactly supported. Thus $\mathcal{B}$ is an extension of the class of potentials with compactly supported scattering data, and in particular contains the reflectionless potentials.

Let $\mathcal{J}$ denote the kernel of ad J, and let $\mathcal{O}$ denote a neighborhood of infinity in the complex plane.

2.1.6 Lemma. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a neighborhood of infinity in the complex plane, let m_1 and m_2 be two solutions of (2.1.4) which are analytic in $\mathcal{O}\cap\Omega_\nu$, bounded for $x\in\mathbb{R}$ and, for all real x, tend to I as $z\to\infty$ in Ω_ν . Then $m_1 = m_2\delta_\nu(z)$, where δ_ν takes its values in $\mathcal{J}$, is analytic in $\mathcal{O}\cap\Omega_\nu$, and tends to I as z tends to infinity along rays in the interior of Ω_ν.*

If m_1 and m_2 satisfy (2.1.4) for $z\in\mathcal{O}$, and take the value I at infinity, then $m_1 = m_2\delta(z)$ in $\mathcal{O}$ where $\delta(z)$ takes its values in $\mathcal{J}$, is analytic in $\mathcal{O}$, and takes the value I at infinity.

Proof. The matrix $w = m_1^{-1}m_2$ satisfies $Dw = [zJ, w]$, hence $w = e^{ixzJ}\delta(z)e^{-ixzJ}$ for some matrix valued function δ which is independent of x and analytic in $\mathcal{O}\cap\Omega_\nu$. Since w is bounded for $-\infty < x < \infty$ and tends to I as z tends to infinity, δ must have the required properties. This proves the first statement.

In the second case, w is analytic at infinity, so has a Laurent expansion $\Sigma_j w_j(x)z^{-j}$ where the coefficients w_j satisfy the recursion relations $[J, w_{j+1}] = dw_j/dx$, with $w_0 = I$. It follows that $[J, w_1] = 0$, so that $w_1\in\mathcal{J}$. Since $\operatorname{ad} J$ is semi-simple, its kernel and range intersect only at zero. Hence $[J, w_2] = dw_1/dx$ and $w_1\in\mathcal{J}$ imply that w_1 is independent of x and $w_2\in\mathcal{J}$. By induction, all the coefficients w_j are independent of x and lie in $\mathcal{J}$. □

We are now ready to prove

2.1.7 Theorem. *In the following, let $q\in\mathcal{S}$.*

i. *If the continuous component of the scattering data is trivial, and Z is finite, then L has a Baker-Akhiezer function for which m is rational in z and is bounded for all real x for regular values of z.*
ii. *Conversely if L has a Baker Akhiezer function for which m is rational in z and bounded for all real x for regular values of z, then the continuous component of the scattering data is trivial.*
iii. *If the scattering data of q is compactly supported, then $q\in\mathcal{B}$; and conversely, if L has a Baker-Akhiezer function for which m is bounded in x for regular values of z then the scattering data is compactly supported.*
iv. *$\mathcal{S}_0\cap\mathcal{B}$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}_0$.*

Proof. To prove i., define a sectionally meromorphic function m by $m(x,z) = m_\nu(x,z)$ for $z\in\Omega_\nu$, where m_ν are the wave functions constructed in the forward scattering problem. Since m has no jumps across $\Sigma\backslash 0$ and Z is a finite set m is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C}\backslash 0$. (It takes the value I at infinity.) By Theorem 8.15 in [BDT], $z^{n-1}d(z)m(x,z)d^{-1}(z)$ has a finite limit at $z=0$. Consequently, m is a rational function of z which is bounded in x for regular values of z.

Conversely, let m and m_ν denote the fundamental solutions of (2.1.4) obtained respectively from the Baker-Akhiezer function and the forward scattering problem. By the first statement in Lemma 2.1.6, $m = m_\nu\delta_\nu(z)$ for $z\in\Omega_\nu$, where δ_ν takes its values in $\mathcal{J}$ and is analytic in the intersection of Ω_ν with a neighborhood of infinity. Since m_ν is continuous up to the boundary of Ω_ν,

$$m_\nu^{-1}m_{\nu+1}(x,\xi) = \delta_\nu\delta_{\nu+1}^{-1}(\xi), \qquad \xi\in\Sigma_\nu,$$

where $\Sigma_\nu = \Omega_\nu\cap\Omega_{\nu+1}$. Since $\operatorname{ad} J$ is a derivation, $s_\nu(\xi) = \delta_\nu\delta_{\nu+1}^{-1}\in\mathcal{J}$.

We wish to show that each s_ν is the identity matrix. We can just as well work in a representation in which J is diagonal; hence $J = \operatorname{diag}(a_1,\dots,a_n)$, where $a_

denote the roots of unity. In that case, s_ν is also diagonal, and the above equation may be written as

$$m_{\nu+1}(x,\xi) = m_\nu(x,\xi)s_\nu(\xi),$$

or, since s_ν commutes with J,

$$e^{ix\xi J}m_{\nu+1}e^{-ix\xi J} = e^{ix\xi J}m_\nu e^{-ix\xi J}s_\nu(\xi) \tag{2.1.8}$$

For $\xi \in \Sigma$, let Π_ξ denote the projection

$$\Pi_\xi e_{jk} = \begin{cases} e_{jk} & \text{if } Re\ i\xi(\alpha_j - \alpha_k) = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where e_{jk} denotes the matrix with a 1 in the jk place and zeroes elsewhere. We prove below that

$$\lim_{x\to-\infty} \Pi_\xi e^{ix\xi J}m_\kappa e^{-ix\xi J} = I + v_\kappa, \qquad \kappa = \nu,\ \nu+1, \tag{2.1.9}$$

where v_ν, $v_{\nu+1}$ lie in the range of Π_ξ and are respectively strictly upper and lower triangular in an appropriate ordering of the roots α_j. From the definition of Π_ξ, we see that $\Pi_\xi as = (\Pi_\xi a)s$ for a diagonal matrix s. Applying Π_ξ to (2.1.8) and taking the limit as $x \to -\infty$, we obtain

$$I + v_{\nu+1} = (I + v_\nu)s_\nu.$$

By the structure of v_ν and $v_{\nu+1}$ we must have $s_\nu = I$ and $v_\nu = v_{\nu+1} = 0$.

We establish (2.1.9) by considering an integral equation for the boundary values of the wave functions. For $z \in \Omega_\nu \backslash Z$, m_ν satisfies the Fredholm integral equation [B]

$$\begin{aligned} m_\nu(x,z) = I &+ \int_{-\infty}^{x} (\Pi_z^- + \Pi_0)e^{iz(x-y)J}(qm_\nu)e^{-iz(x-y)J}dy \\ &- \int_x^\infty \Pi_z^+ e^{iz(x-y)J}(qm_\nu)e^{-iz(x-y)J}dy, \end{aligned}$$

where Π_0 projects onto the diagonal matrices and $\Pi_z^\pm$ denote the projections

$$\Pi_z^\pm e_{jk} = \begin{cases} e_{jk} & \text{if } \pm Re\ iz(\alpha_j - \alpha_k) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \pm Re\ iz(\alpha_j - \alpha_k) < 0. \end{cases}$$

An ordering of the α_j may be chosen so that, for $z \in \Omega_\nu$, $\Pi_z^\pm$ are the projections $\mathcal{U}_\pm$ onto the strictly upper and lower triangular matrices. Letting z tend to $\xi \in \Sigma_\nu$ from Ω_ν, we obtain the following integral equation for the boundary values of m_ν :

$$\begin{aligned} m_\nu(x,\xi) = I &+ \int_{-\infty}^{x} (\mathcal{U}_- + \Pi_0)e^{i\xi(x-y)J}(qm_\nu)e^{-i\xi(x-y)J}dy \\ &- \int^\infty \mathcal{U}_+ e^{i\xi(x-y)J}(qm_\nu)e^{-i\xi(x-y)J}dy. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.10}$$

Conjugating (2.1.10) by $e^{-ix\xi J}$, applying Π_ξ, and taking the limit as $x \to -\infty$, we obtain

$$\lim_{x\to-\infty} \Pi_\xi e^{-ix\xi J} m_\nu e^{ix\xi J} = I - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Pi_\xi \mathcal{U}_+ e^{-i\xi y J}(qm_\nu) e^{i\xi y J} dy = I + v_\nu.$$

For $z \in \Omega_{\nu+1}$, Π_z^+ no longer projects onto the upper triangular matrices; however, $\Pi_\xi \Pi_z^+ = \Pi_\xi \mathcal{U}_-$. Hence, repeating the previous arguments, we obtain

$$\lim_{x\to-\infty} \Pi_\xi e^{-ix\xi J} m_{\nu+1} e^{ix\xi J} = I - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Pi_\xi \mathcal{U}_- e^{-i\xi y J}(qm_{\nu+1}) e^{i\xi y J} dy = I + v_{\nu+1}.$$

This establishes (2.1.9), hence ii.

If the scattering data is trivial outside some compact set, then there is some open neighborhood $\mathcal{O}$ of infinity on which $m_\nu = m_{\nu+1}$ in $\Sigma_\nu \cap \mathcal{O}$, and we obtain a Baker-Akhiezer function by setting $m = m_\nu$ for $z \in \Omega_\nu \cap \mathcal{O}$. Hence $q \in \mathcal{B}$, and iii. is established.

The result in iv) is a consequence of the fact that potentials with compactly supported scattering data are dense in $\mathcal{S}_0$ in the Schwartz topology. This fact is stated without proof in [BDT] (cf. p. 154). A rough sketch of the proof runs like this. Let $q \in \mathcal{S}_0$, and denote its scattering data by v. (We also include the discrete data in v.) On Σ each entry of $v - I$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ ([BDT], Theorem 13.1). In particular, each entry of $v - I$ is rapidly decreasing at infinty and has an asymptotic expansion in positive powers of z as $z \to 0$ along each ray Σ_ν of Σ. We approximate v by smooth data v_n such that $v_n = v$ in $|z| \le n$, and $v_n - I$ vanishes identically in the region $|z| \ge n + 1$. Since the inverse transform is defined in a sufficiently small neighborhood of v, it is defined for each v_n for sufficiently large n; and the corresponding potentials q_n belong to $\mathcal{B}$. The inverse transform, as constructed in [BDT], is the composition of two continuous processes: a rational approximation of the scattering data; and the inversion of a Fredholm operator close to the identity. Hence the inverse transform is (locally) continous from $L_2(\Sigma)$ to $L_2(\mathbb{R})$. Using the estimates in [BDT], one could also prove, with some effort, that the corresponding potentials q_n converge to q in the topology of $\mathcal{S}$. □

For potentials in $\mathcal{S}$ the m_ν are uniquely determined by their normalization as $x \to -\infty$. No such unique normalization is possible for the Baker-Akhiezer wave functions; but we do obtain:

2.1.11 Theorem. *If $q \in \mathcal{B}$ then a fundamental solution of (2.1.2) can be constructed which satisfies the symmetry condition $\psi(x, \alpha z) = d^{-1}(\alpha)\psi(x, z)d(\alpha)$, whre α is a primitive n^{th} root of unity.*

Remark. *This symmetry condition does not uniquely determine the wave function.*

Proof. First note that (2.1.4) is invariant under right multiplication by holomorphic $\delta(z) \in \mathcal{J}$ which tend to I as z tends to ∞. These matrices have the representation

$$\delta(z) = \sum^{n-1} \delta_j(z) J^j, \qquad \delta_j(z) \to \delta_{j0} \text{ as } z \to \infty.$$

Since $d^{-1}(\alpha)zJd(\alpha) = \alpha zJ$ and $d^{-1}(\alpha)q(z)d(\alpha) = q(\alpha z)$, (2.1.4) is also satisfied by $d(\alpha)m(x,\alpha z)d^{-1}(\alpha)$. By the second statement of Lemma 2.1.6 there is a $\delta(z)$ with values in $\mathcal{J}$ such that

$$d(\alpha)m(x,\alpha z)d(\alpha)^{-1} = m(x,z)\delta(z).$$

Let q be regular at some point x_0, and let $a(z) = m(x_0,z)$. Then

$$a(\alpha z) = d^{-1}(\alpha)a(z)\delta(z)d(\alpha). \tag{2.1.12}$$

The function m is uniquely determined by its values at x_0. Moreover, $m\mu$ is also a solution of (2.1.4) for any holomorphic matrix $\mu(z)$ with values in $\mathcal{J}$. For the proof of Theorem 2.1.11 it therefore suffices to find a matrix $\mu(z)$ with values in $\mathcal{J}$ such that

$$d(\alpha)a(\alpha z)\mu(\alpha z)d^{-1}(\alpha) = a(z)\mu(z);$$

for it then follows that $m(x,z)\mu$ satisfies the required symmetry condition. Usi;ng (2.1.12) we may write this condition as

$$\delta(z) = \mu(z)d(\alpha)\mu^{-1}(\alpha z)d^{-1}(\alpha) \tag{2.1.13}$$

where

$$\delta, \mu \in \mathcal{J}, \qquad \delta(\infty) = I, \qquad \det\delta = 1.$$

We represent δ and μ in the form

$$\delta(z) = \exp\{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\eta_j(z)J^j\}, \qquad \mu(z) = \exp\{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\theta_j(z)J^j\}.$$

Iterating (2.1.13) n times, we obtain

$$I = \delta(z)d(\alpha)\delta(\alpha z)\dots\delta(\alpha^{n-1}z)d(\alpha).$$

Bringing each of the n matrices $d(\alpha)$ all the way to the left in the above expression (and noting that $Jd(\alpha) = d(\alpha)\alpha J$), we find

$$I = \exp\{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(\eta_j(\alpha^k z)\alpha^{-k})J^j\},$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\eta_j(\alpha^k z)\alpha^{-k} = 0, \quad j = 1,\dots,n-1$$

These equations represent a set of constraints on the η_j, which are given functions. From (2.1.13) we find by similar arguments,

$$\eta_j(z) = \theta_j(z) - \alpha^{-j}\theta_j(\alpha z). \tag{2.1.14}$$

We seek a solution of (2.1.14) in the form

$$\theta_j(z) = \sum^{n-2} v_k\eta_j(\alpha^k z), \quad j = 1,\dots,n-1,$$

where the coefficients v_k (more precisely $v_{j,k}$) are to be determined. The three preceeding equations reduce to the linear system

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & & \alpha^{-j} \\ -\alpha^{-j} & 1 & 0 & \dots & \alpha^{-(j+1)} \\ 0 & -\alpha^{-j} & 1 & 0 & \alpha^{-(j+2)} \\ & \dots & & \dots & \\ 0 & & \dots & -\alpha^{-j} & 1+\alpha^{-(j-2)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ . \\ . \\ v_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ . \\ . \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The coefficient matrix on the left is invertible, as can be seen by reducing it to row echelon form. Adding the first row to the second multiplied by α^j , etc. one arrives at a matrix in row echelon form whose diagonal is

$$1,\ \alpha^j,\ \alpha^{2j},\ \dots, -\alpha^{-(j-1)}(1-\alpha^{-j}).$$

The last entry is non-zero, since α is a primitive n^{th} root of unity. □

2.2 Properties of the wave function.

We next analyze the structure of the wave function m constructed in theorem 2.1.11. From the symmetry condition

$$\psi(x,\alpha z) = d^{-1}(\alpha)\psi(x,z)d(\alpha) \tag{2.2.1}$$

and the expansion

$$m(x,z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{m_j}{z^j}, \qquad m_0 = I \tag{2.2.2}$$

we deduce

$$d^{-1}(\alpha)m_j d(\alpha) = \alpha^{-j} m_j, \quad j \geq 0. \tag{2.2.3}$$

We claim that

$$m_j(x) = E_j(x)J^{-j}, \qquad E_j \text{ diagonal}, \qquad E_0 = I. \tag{2.2.4}$$

First, from the identity $e_{ij} = e_{ii}J^{j-i}$ we see that any $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, the space of $n \times n$ matrices with complex entries, can be represented as

$$A = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E_k J^k, \qquad E_k \text{ diagonal}. \tag{2.2.5}$$

Furthermore,

$$d^{-1}(\alpha)J^k d(\alpha) = (\alpha J)^k, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.2.6}$$

Expanding m_j in the form (2.2.5) and using (2.2.6) we see that $E_k = 0$ for $j \neq k \bmod n$. This establishes (2.2.4).

From now on we often suppress the x dependence of the E_j. Further restrictions are placed on them by the requirement that m satisfy (2.1.4). First we note that

$$q(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} Q_{j+1}(zJ)^{-j}, \qquad Q_j = \text{diag}\ (0,0,\dots,-u_j). \tag{2.2.7}$$

Substituting (2.2.2) into (2.1.4) we obtain a sequence of recursion relations for the $m_j = E_j J^{-j}$. Straightforward calculations lead to

$$(\sigma - I)E_1 = 0, \tag{2.2.8}$$

$$(\sigma - I)E_{j+1} = DE_j - \sum_{k=1}^{j} Q_{k+1}\sigma^{-k}(E_{j-k}), \qquad j \geq 1$$

where we set $Q_k = 0$ for $k > n$ and $\sigma(E_j) = JE_jJ^{-1}$. We shall need the following simple facts about σ

2.2.9 Lemma. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the algebra of diagonal $n \times n$ matrices and let $\mathcal{D}_0$ be the subspace of traceless such matrices. Then*

i) $\sigma \in Aut\ \mathcal{D}$
ii) $\sigma^n = I$
iii) *Range* $(\sigma - I) = \mathcal{D}_0$, $\quad Ker(\sigma - I) = \mathbb{C}\ I$
iv) *On* $\mathcal{D}_0$ *and for* $n > 2$,

$$(\sigma - I)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n}(\sigma^2 + 2\sigma^3 + \cdots + (n-2)\sigma^{n-1} - I).$$

Proof. Items (i-iii) are immediate from the definition of σ, while (iv) follows from the identity

$$I + \sigma + \sigma^2 + \cdots + \sigma^{n-1} = 0 \qquad \text{on } \mathcal{D}_0.$$

2.2.10 Theorem. *Let D_1 denote the matrix diag $(1, 1, \ldots, 1, 1-n)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 =& I, \qquad E_1 = \omega_1 I, \\ E_j =& \omega_j I + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (D^k \omega_{j-k})(\sigma - I)^{-k} D_1, \qquad j \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

The ω_j are determined from the coefficients u_j of L by a sequence of recursion relations

$$u_j = -nD\omega_{j-1} + h_j \qquad 2 \leq j \leq n$$

where $h_2 = 0$ and h_j is a polynomial in $\omega_1, \ \ldots, \ \omega_{j-2}$ and their derivatives for $j > 2$.

Proof. By (2.2.8) E_1 is a scalar multiple of the identity, so $E_1 = \omega_1 I$. By the second relation in (2.2.8)

$$(\sigma - I)E_2 = DE_1 - Q_2 I.$$

This equation is solvable provided that tr $(DE_1 - Q_2) = nD\omega_1 + u_2 = 0$; in that case

$$DE_1 - Q_2 = D\omega_1 I - nD\omega_1 e_{nn} = D\omega_1(I - ne_{nn}) = (D\omega_1)D_1,$$

and

$$E_2 = \omega_2 I + (D\omega_1)(\sigma - I)^{-1} D_1.$$

Assume the result holds for $j \geq 2$. Since $(\sigma - I)^{-1}$ maps $\mathcal{D}_0$ to itself, $\mathrm{tr} DE_j = \mathrm{tr} D\omega_j I = nD\omega_j$, hence the recursion relation (2.2.8) for E_{j+1} is solvable provided

$$nD\omega_j - \mathrm{tr} \sum_{k=1}^{j} Q_{k+1}\sigma^{-k}(E_{j-k}) = 0. \tag{2.2.11}$$

It follows from (2.2.11) that

$$\sum^{j} Q_{k+1}\sigma^{-k}(E_{j-k}) = e_{nn}\mathrm{tr} \sum^{j} Q_{k+1}\sigma^{-k}(E_{j-k}) = n(D\omega_j)e_{nn},$$

so that (2.2.8) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma - I)E_{j+1} =& D\omega_j I + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} D^{k+1}\omega_{j-k}(\sigma - I)^{-k}D_1 - \sum_{k=1}^{j} Q_{k+1}\sigma^{-k}(E_{j-k}) \\ =& (D\omega_j)D_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} D^{k+1}\omega_{j-k}(\sigma - I)^{-k}D_1 \\ =& \sum_{k=1}^{j} D^k\omega_{j+1-k}(\sigma - I)^{-k+1}D_1.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$E_{j+1} = \omega_{j+1}I + \sum_{k=1}^{j} D^k\omega_{j+1-k}(\sigma - I)^{-k}D_1.$$

For $2 \le j \le n-1$ (2.2.11) implies

$$\begin{aligned}& nD\omega_j + \sum_{k=1}^{j} u_{k+1}\mathrm{Tr}[e_{nn}\sigma^{-k}(E_{j-k})] \\ &= nD\omega_j + u_{j+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} u_{k+1}\mathrm{Tr}[e_{nn}\sigma^{-k}(E_{j-k})] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

This yields the appropriate recursion relation for ω_j and the induction step is completed. □

Now we are ready to state the main theorem of this section.

2.2.12 Theorem. *Let $\tilde{m} = d(z)md^{-1}(z)$. Then*

i)
$$\tilde{m} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} E_j J_\lambda^{-j},$$
where the E_j's are given in Theorem 2.2.10;

ii) *$\tilde{m}(x, \alpha z) = \tilde{m}(x, z)$, hence $\tilde{m} = \tilde{m}(x, \lambda)$;*

iii) *$\tilde{m}$ is regular at $\lambda = \infty$ and*
$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \tilde{m}(x, \lambda) = I + \ell,$$
where ℓ is a strictly lower triangular matrix.

iv) *$\det \tilde{m} = 1$*

Proof. Observe that $d(z)zJd^{-1}(z) = J_\lambda$ and E_j diagonal imply that $d(z)E_j(zJ)^{-j}d^{-1}(z) = E_jJ_\lambda^{-j}$. This proves i) and ii) follows immediately. By the definition

$$\tilde{m} = dmd^{-1} = \sum^{\infty} E_jJ_\lambda^{-j} = \sum^{n-1} E_jJ_\lambda^{-j} + O(|z|^{-n}).$$

Note however that

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} J_\lambda^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv K.$$

Thus

$$\tilde{m}(x,\infty) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} E_j K^j = I + \ell$$

where ℓ is strictly lower triangular. Finally $\det \tilde{m} = \det m = 1$. $\square$

2.3 Factorization for the Gelfand-Dikii flows..

The existence of a Baker-Akhiezer function for L leads to a factorization problem on a circle centered at the origin in the λ plane; specifically:

2.3.1 Theorem. *Let $q \in \mathcal{B}$. Then there exist wave functions $\phi_\pm(x,\lambda)$ of (2.1.1) such that ϕ_+ is entire and $\phi_- = \tilde{m}e^{ixJ_\lambda}$, where $\tilde{m}(x,\infty) = I + \ell$, ℓ strictly lower triangular. $\phi_\pm$ satisfy the factorization problem*

$$g(\lambda) = \phi_-^{-1}(x,\lambda)\phi_+(x,\lambda), \tag{2.3.2}$$

where g is analytic in $\rho < |\lambda| < +\infty$ for some $\rho > 0$. By a suitable rescaling of the potentials, we may assume that $\rho < 1$.

Proof. The wave function

$$\phi_- = d(z)\psi_- d^{-1}(z) = d(z)m_-(x,z)d^{-1}e^{ixJ_\lambda} = \tilde{m}(x,\lambda)e^{ixJ_\lambda}$$

satisfies (2.1.1) and, by Theorem 2.2.12, has all the required properties. Since (2.1.1) depends analytically on λ, there is a unique solution $\phi_+(x,\lambda)$, which is entire in λ and satisfies the initial value condition $\phi_+(0,\lambda) = I$. (We assume, for convenience, that q is regular at $x = 0$.) Since $\phi_\pm$ both satisfy (2.1.1), the matrix $\phi_-^{-1}\phi_+$ is independent of x.

Given a Baker-Akhiezer function for the potentials $u_j(x)$ which is analytic in the exterior of some circle in the λ-plane, we define rescaled potentials by $u_j^s(x) = s^j u_j(sx)$, $s > 0$. If $q^s(x)$ denotes the matrix of rescaled potentials, then $\phi^s = d(s)\phi(sx, s^{-n}\lambda)d^{-1}(s)$ satisfies $(D - J_\lambda - q^s(x))\phi^s = 0$. Replacing the original wave functions by the rescaled ones, we obtain a factorization problem on any circle in the λ plane we choose. In particular, we may assume (2.3.2) holds for some $\rho < 1$. $\square$

The isospectral flows of L are compatibility conditions for the pair of scalar equations

$$Lf = \lambda f \qquad D_t f = (L_+^{k/n})f, \quad D_t = -i\partial/\partial t.$$

By (2.1.1), all x-derivatives of f can be written as linear combinations of the first $n-1$ derivatives of f with coefficients which are differential polynomials in the u_j. The equation for the time evolution of f is therefore equivalent to a first order system of the form $(D_t - v)W = 0$, where $W = ||D^{k-1}f_j||$ is the Wronskian introduced in §2.1. Since the coefficients of $L^{k/n}$ are differential polynomials in

the coefficients of L, v also possesses such a structure. When $q = 0$ we find that $v = J_\lambda^k$, so the Gel'fand-Dikii flows are zero curvature conditions for the connection $D - J_\lambda - q$, $D_t - J_\lambda^k - B_{k,n}$, $B_{k,n}$ denoting a matrix valued differential polynomial in u_j of degree $k-1$ in λ. Let $q(x,t) \in \mathcal{B}$ evolve according to the (n,k) Gel'fand-Dikii flow. The wave functions $\phi_\pm$ of Theorem 2.3.1 satisfy

$$(D - J_\lambda - q)\phi_\pm = 0, \qquad (D_t - J_\lambda^k - B_{k,n})\phi_\pm = 0.$$

They may be written as

$$\phi_\pm = \tilde{m}_\pm(x,t,\lambda)e^{i(xJ_\lambda + tJ_\lambda^k)},$$

where $\tilde{m}_+$ is an entire function of λ, and $\tilde{m}_-$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1.12. From Theorem 2.3.1 we have:

2.3.3 Corollary. *The functions $\tilde{m}_\pm$ satisfy the factorization problem*

$$e^{i(xJ_\lambda + tJ_\lambda^k)}g(\lambda)e^{-i(xJ_\lambda + tJ_\lambda^k)} = \tilde{m}_-^{-1}\tilde{m}_+, \tag{2.3.4}$$

where again g is analytic in an exterior domain containing the unit circle, except possibly at $\lambda = \infty$.

Later, in Theorem 4.3.4, we shall see that the factorization given in (2.3.4) is unique. Using this, we may prove:

2.3.4 Theorem. *Suppose q is rational in x in a domain $\mathcal{U}$ and in addition is a Baker-Akhiezer potential. Then the monodromy of the wave functions is trivial.*

Proof. Suppose

$$e^{xJ_\lambda}ge^{-xJ_\lambda} = m_-^{-1}m_+$$

and suppose $\hat{m}_\pm$ denote the analytic continuations of $m_\pm$ around a pole of q. Since the process of analytic continuation is continuous, we must have

$$e^{xJ_\lambda}ge^{-xJ_\lambda} = \hat{m}_-^{-1}\hat{m}_+.$$

By the uniqueness of the decomposition (Theorem 4.3.4 below), we must have $\hat{m}_\pm = m_\pm$. □

3. The Inverse Problem

3.1 Factorization on the circle.

In §2 we derived the factorization problem (2.3.4) on the unit circle, beginning with L and its isospectral flows for potentials in $\mathcal{B}$. The inverse problem consists of solving a factorization problem and constructing the flat connection from the factors $\tilde{m}_\pm$. We begin with a brief summary of the solution of matrix factorization problems on the unit circle. (cf. [GK].)

Let G denote the loop group

$$G = \{g : g = g(\lambda) \in M_r(\mathbb{C}),\ \det g = 1,\ g \text{ analytic in } a < |\lambda| < +\infty\}$$

together with its subgroups (under standard matrix multiplication)

$$G_+ = \{g \in G : g = \sum_{k\geq 0} g_k \lambda^k\};$$
$$G_- = \{g \in G : g = \sum_{k\leq 0} g_k \lambda^k, \quad g_0 = I\}$$

We always assume $\rho < 1$, so that the domain of holomorphy of g contains the unit circle. In practice, we use g to signify either the function $g(\lambda)$ or the sequence of Fourier coefficients $\{g_k\}$. For $g \in G$

$$\sum_k |g_k| s^k < +\infty$$

for all $s > \rho$, where $|g_k|$ denotes the sum of the absolute values of the entries of g_k. Therefore for any $s > \rho$, G is a subset of the Hilbert space H^s defined by

$$H^s = \{g : ||g||^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \text{tr } [g(se^{i\theta})(g(se^{i\theta})^*)]\, d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_k s^{2k} \text{tr } g_k g_k^* < +\infty\}.$$

We define

$$H_+^s = \{g \in H^s : g_k = 0 \text{ for } k < 0\}, \quad H_-^s = \{g \in H^s : g_k = 0 \text{ for } k \geq 0\}.$$

Then $H_\pm^s$ are the Hilbert spaces of boundary values of matrix-valued functions holomorphic in the interior and exterior of the unit circle. From now on we sometimes drop the superscript s. Moreover, if $\phi_- \in H_-^s$ for all $s > \rho$ and $\det \phi_- = 1$ then $\phi_- \in G_-$.

We associate a Fredholm determinant with the factorization problem. (Segal and Wilson obtain the τ function as a determinant associated with a Riemann-Hilbert problem.) The Fredholm determinant is defined for operators of the form $I + A$ where A is of trace class on a Hilbert space H [Si]. The operators of trace class on H form a complex Banach space $\mathcal{I}_1$ with norm

$$||A||_1 = \text{tr}\sqrt{AA^*}.$$

The nonlinear functional $\det(I + A)$ is well defined and differentiable on $\mathcal{I}_1$. Under the identification of $\mathcal{I}_1^*$ with the space of bounded linear operators on H, its Frechet derivative is

$$(I + A)^{-1} \det(I + A).$$

The operator

$$D(A) = -A(I + A)^{-1} \det(I + A)$$

is the first Fredholm minor, and

$$(I + A)^{-1} = I + \frac{D(A)}{\det(I + A)}.$$

Since $\det(I + A)$ is differentiable on the complex Banach space $\mathcal{I}_1$ it is analytic there, and therefore so is $D(A)$.

Theorem 3.1.1. *If $A(x) \in \mathfrak{I}_1$ is holomorphic in a complex variable x, and* $\det(I + A(x)) \neq 0$ *for at least one $x \neq x_0$, then $(I + A(x))^{-1}$ is meromorphic in x, with poles at the zeroes of det $(I + A(x))$.*

In the course of the solution of the factorization problem we need to consider operators on $H_\pm$ of the following type:

$$C_g^+ f = \sum_{k\geq 0} f_k g_{j-k},\ j \geq 0; \qquad C_g^- f = \sum_{k<0} f_k g_{j-k},\ j < 0.$$

3.1.2. Theorem. *For $g \in G$, $C_g^\pm$ are Fredholm operators on $H_\pm$.*

Proof. Let K_g denote the operation defined on H by $K_g f = fg$, where $g \in G$ and $f \in H$. The equation $K_g f = h$ can be written as the infinite system of equations

$$\sum_k f_k g_{j-k} = h_j. \tag{3.1.3}$$

This system is invertible for $g \in G$ since g is bounded and $\det g(\lambda) = 1$ on the unit circle, and K_g has a bounded inverse on H.

We rewrite (3.1.3) as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k\geq 0} f_k^+ g_{j-k} + \sum_{k>0} f_k^- g_{j+k} &= h_j^+, \qquad j \geq 0, \\ \sum_{k\geq 0} f_k^+ g_{-j-k} + \sum_{k>0} f_k^- g_{k-j} &= h_j^- \qquad j > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.4}$$

where $f_k^+ = f_k,\ k \geq 0;\ f_k^- = f_{-k},\ k > 0; etc.$

Denoting $\{f^+, f^-\}$ as well by f, we introduce the operators

$$B_g f = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k^+ g_{j-k},\ \sum_{k>0} f_k^- g_{k-j} \right), \qquad T_g f = \left(\sum_{k>0} f_k^- g_{j+k},\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k^+ g_{-(k+j)} \right).$$

By the decomposition (3.1.4), $K_g = T_g + B_g$, and $B_g = K_g - T_g = K_g(I - K_g^{-1} T_g)$. The operators $C_g^\pm$ are precisely the components of B_g. Since K_g is invertible, it suffices to show that T_g is compact.

In fact, each of its components is of trace class. Consider for example, the operator on H_+ defined by $Tf = \Sigma_k f_k g_{k+j}$. Now an infinite matrix $A = ||a_{jk}||$ is of trace class if

$$\sum_{j,k\geq 0} |a_{jk}| < +\infty.$$

In fact, (cf. [Si]) there is a partial isometry U such that $|A| = U^* A$, where $|A|$ is the positive definite operator $(AA^*)^{1/2}$. Since $||U^*\psi|| = ||\psi||$, all entries of U^* are bounded by one in absolute value. Consequently

$$||A||_1 = \mathrm{tr}|A| = \sum U_{jk}^* a_{kj} \leq \sum |a_{jk}|.$$

Thus it suffices to show that $\Sigma_{j,k}|g_{j+k}| < +\infty$ where $|g_k|$ denotes the sum of the absolute values of g_k. We have

$$\sum_{j,k\geq 0} |g_{j+k}| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1)|g_n|;$$

and the sum on the right converges since g is analytic in $|\lambda| > 1$.

The second component of T_g is also of trace class. A similiar argument leads to consideration of the series

$$\sum_{n\leq 0} |g_n|(1+|n|).$$

This sum converges since g is analytic for $\rho < |\lambda| < 1$. $\square$

Since T_g is of trace class, the following is immediate:

3.1.5. Corollary. *The operators $C_g^{\pm}$ are invertible iff det $(I - K_g^{-1}T_g) \neq 0$. If g is holomorphic in x then $(C_g^{\pm})^{-1}$ are meromorphic in x.*

Let

$$G^t = \{g : g = g(\lambda) \in M_n(\mathbb{C}),\ \det\ g = 1,\ g \text{ analytic in } t < |\lambda| < +\infty\},$$

and define H^t, $H_{\pm}^t$ and G_{-}^t accordingly. We are now ready to prove:

3.1.6. Theorem. *Let $g \in G^{\rho}$. The factorization problem*

$$\phi_- g = \phi_+, \qquad g \in G, \quad \phi_{\pm} \in G_{\pm}^t, \quad t > \rho,$$

has a unique solution provided $\det(I - K_g^{-1}T_g) \neq 0$. *Moreover, if g is analytic in a parameter x, the factors $\phi_{\pm}$ are meromorphic in x, provided that for at least one value of x,* $\det(I - K_g^{-1}T_g) \neq 0$.

Proof. Writing

$$\phi_- = I + \sum_{k<0} \phi_k \lambda^k, \qquad \phi_+ = \sum_{k\geq 0} \phi_k \lambda^k,$$

and substituting these expressions into our factorization problem, we get

$$\sum_k g_k \lambda^k + \sum_k \left(\sum_{j<0} \phi_j g_{k-j} \right) \lambda^k = \sum_{k\geq 0} \phi_k \lambda^k.$$

For $k < 0$ we get the system of equations

$$g_k + \sum_{j<0} \phi_j g_{k-j} = 0, \qquad k < 0. \tag{3.1.7}$$

By Corollary 3.1.5 this system has a unique solution in H_-^t, denoted by ϕ_-, provided that $\det(I - K_g^{-1}T_g)$ does not vanish. Setting

$$\phi_k = g_k + \sum \phi_j g_{k-j}, \qquad k \geq 0, \tag{3.1.8}$$

we obtain the required factorization provided we can show that $\phi_\pm$ belong to $G^t_\pm$ respectively. Since ϕ_- and g are analytic in $|\lambda| > t$, so is $\phi_+ = \phi_- g$. The factorization shows that there are no negative powers of λ in the Laurent expansion for ϕ_+. Therefore ϕ_+ is entire.

Note that $\det \phi_+ = \det \phi_-$ on $|\lambda| = 1$ so the sectionally holomorphic function given by

$$\begin{cases} \det \phi_-, & |\lambda| > 1 \\ \det \phi_+, & |\lambda| < 1 \end{cases}$$

is analytic on the extended complex plane. Therefore it is a constant. Since $\phi_-(\infty) = I$, it is identically 1, and $\det \phi_\pm \equiv 1$. Hence ϕ_+ is entire and $\phi_\pm \in G^t_-$. Since ϕ_- does not depend on t it belongs to G^t_- for all $t > \rho$, hence $\phi_- \in G_-$.

If g depends analytically on x, then the $K^{-1}T_g$ is an analytic operator valued function of x, and the solution γ of (3.1.7) is meromorphic in x. If g is entire, then the poles of ϕ_- do not cluster in the finite complex x plane. □

3.2 The dressing method. Once the factorization of $g \in G$ has been obtained, a flat connection is reconstructed from the factors by a procedure often referred to as the "dressing method" [ZS]. We first summarize the method for the AKNS hierarchies with potentials in $\mathcal{B}$ since these are somewhat less complicated.

Given $g \in G$, let $m_\pm$ be the solutions of the factorization problem

$$e^{xzJ+tz^k\mu} g(z) e^{-xzJ-tz^k\mu} = m_-^{-1} m_+. \tag{3.2.1}$$

where J and μ are diagonal matrices. It is immediate from (3.2.1) that

$$D(m_-^{-1}m_+) = z[J, m_-^{-1}m_+], \qquad D_t(m_-^{-1}m_+) = z^k[\mu, m_-^{-1}m_+], \tag{3.2.2}$$

where for the present $D = d/dx$ and $D_t = d/dt$.

Denote by m the sectionally holomorphic function

$$m = \begin{cases} m_+ & |z| < 1; \\ m_- & |z| > 1. \end{cases}$$

A simple calculation based on (3.2.2) shows that the sectionally holomorphic functions

$$(Dm)m^{-1} + m(zJ)m^{-1}, \qquad (D_t m)m^{-1} + m(z^k\mu)m^{-1}$$

have no jumps across the unit circle, and are consequently entire functions of z. Since m_- tends to I as z tends to infinity, their highest order terms in z are respectively zJ and $z^k\mu$; hence, with $m = m_-$,

$$(Dm)m^{-1} + m(zJ)m^{-1} = u(x,z) \equiv zJ + q(x,t) \tag{3.2.3a}$$

$$(D_t m)m^{-1} + m(z^k\mu)m^{-1} = v_k(x,z) \equiv z^k\mu + B_k \tag{3.2.3b}$$

where B_k denotes a polynomial of degree $k-1$ in z.

Letting $(\ )_+$ denote the projection onto the non-negative powers of z, we obtain

$$zJ + q = (m_- zJ m_-^{-1})_+ = zJ + [m_1, J] \tag{3.2.4}$$

where m_1 is the coefficient of z^{-1} in the expansion of m_-, and

$$v_k(x,z) = (m_- z^k \mu m_-^{-1})_+. \tag{3.2.5}$$

3.2.6 Theorem. *v_k is a universal polynomial in q and its derivatives with zero constant term. Specifically,*

$$v_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k} F_j z^{k-j}$$

where the matrix valued functions F_j satisfy the recursion relations

$$[J, F_{j+1}] = DF_j - [q, F_j], \quad F_0 = \mu. \tag{3.2.7}$$

The connection $D - u,\ D_t - v_k$ is flat, and q satisfies the nonlinear partial differential equation

$$q_t = [J, F_{k+1}]. \tag{3.2.8}$$

Solutions of (3.2.8) which are obtained from the factorization (3.2.1) are meromorphic in x and t.

Likewise, the coefficients of $mJ_\lambda^k m^{-1}$, where me^{xJ_λ} satisifes (2.1.1), are differential polynomials in q with zero constant term.

Proof. We sketch a proof of the first statement based on arguments in [BS2]. The wave function m_- is uniquely determined up to right multiplication by a diagonal matrix, so $F = m_- z^k \mu m_-^{-1}$ is independent of the choice of m_-. For fixed x_0, construct a formal series m_- by requiring the diagonal entries in $m_j,\ j > 0$ to vanish at x_0. It is clear that the coefficients of m_- are then uniquely determined polynomials in q and its derivatives at x_0, so the same is true of the F_j. Since x_0 is arbitrary, the result holds for all x. When q is zero, m_- is necessarily diagonal and $F = z^k\mu$; hence these polynomials have zero constant term. The same argument holds for the Gel'fand-Dikii case, where m_- satisifies $m_x = [J_\lambda, m] + qm$ and $F = mJ_\lambda^k m^{-1}$.

To prove the second statement, rewrite (3.2.3) in the form $(D-u)m = m(D - zJ),\ (D_t - v)m = m(D_t - z^k\mu)$. Then

$$[D-u, D_t - v]m = m[D - zJ,\ D_t - z^k\mu] = 0.$$

Since m is invertible, $[D-u,\ D_t - v] = 0$ and the connection is flat. The evolution equation (3.2.8) follows in the standard way from this fact and the recursion relations (3.2.7).

Since x and t appear analytically in (3.2.1), the factors $m_\pm$ are meromorphic in x and t by Theorem 3.1.6. The meromorphic behavior of q follows from (3.2.4). □

The wave function m is said to "dress" the bare connection $D - zJ,\ D_t - z^k\mu$ to the "dressed connection" $D - u,\ D_t - v$.

Flat connections for the Gel'fand-Dikii and associated hierarchies are constructed from solutions of the factorization problem (2.3.4), but require a number of algebraic considerations. Namely, one must decide how one is going to split the constant factors in the Lie group G. By altering the choice of $G_\pm$ one obtains not only the Gel'fand-Dikii flows, but their associated flows as well, such as the modified Gel'fand-Dikii flows, etc. Moreover, in the case of the Gel'fand-Dikii flows themselves, the factor G_- in the Riemann-Hilbert splitting is not a group, so the dressing method must be modified. This will be done in §5.1.

The analogs of (3.2.3a,b) are

$$(D\tilde{m})\tilde{m}^{-1} + \tilde{m}J_\lambda\tilde{m}^{-1} = J_\lambda + q \tag{3.2.9a}$$

$$(D_t\tilde{m})\tilde{m}^{-1} + \tilde{m}J_\lambda^k\tilde{m}^{-1} = J_\lambda^k + B_{n,k}. \tag{3.2.9b}$$

3.2.10 Corollary. *Let q be defined for $x \in I \subset \mathbb{R}$ and suppose L has a Baker-Akhiezer function for $x \in I$. Then q has a meromorphic extension to the complex plane.*

Proof. For $x \in I$ the wave functions satisfy the factorization problem (2.3.4) (with $t = 0$). Since the left side is entire in x, the factors $m_\pm$ have meromorphic extensions to the complex plane, by Theorem 3.1.6. The meromorphic extension of q is then given by (3.2.9a). □

This result was obtained in [SW] using the τ function; the method here applies to the AKNS and Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies as well.

4. Algebraic structure

4.1 Loop groups and algebras. We begin by reviewing the basic ideas of loop groups and algebras [K], [PS]. We continue to denote by G the loop group introduced in §3.1. Let $|\cdot|$ denote a norm on $M_n(C)$. We may assume that the automorphism σ on diagonal matrices $\mathcal{D}$, which we introduced in §2.2, leaves $|\cdot|$ invariant: $|\sigma(D)| = |D|$.

From the identity

$$\lambda^m e_{jk} = e_{jj} J_\lambda^{k-j+nm},$$

it follows that an equivalent representation of G is given by

$$G = \{g : g = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} d_k J_\lambda^k; \quad \det\ g = 1, \quad d_k \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

where it is assumed that the series converges absolutely and uniformly on S^1. Given $g = \Sigma_k d_k J_\lambda^k$, and $h = \Sigma_k h_k J_\lambda^k$ we have

$$gh = \sum_j \left(\sum_k d_k \sigma^k(h_{j-k}) \right) J_\lambda^j.$$

Moreover, G is a Banach Lie group with norm

$$||g|| = \sum_k |d_k|,$$

and Banach Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \{h : h = \sum_k h_k J_\lambda^k;\ ||h|| < +\infty,\ \text{tr}\ h_k = 0,\ \text{for}\ k = 0 \bmod n\}.$$

An integer valued *grading* of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a direct sum decompostion of $\mathfrak{g}$ into subspaces $\mathfrak{g}_k$ such that $[\mathfrak{g}_j,\ \mathfrak{g}_k] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{j+k}$. In our case, $\mathfrak{g}$ has two natural gradings, induced by the two bases:

$$\deg_1\ (g_k \lambda^k) = k, \qquad \deg_2\ (d_k J_\lambda^k) = k.$$

The homogeneous subspaces are different for the first and second gradings; let us denote them by $\mathfrak{g}^1$ and $\mathfrak{g}^2$. Then:

4.1.1 Lemma. *The complex dimension of* $\mathfrak{g}_k^1$ *is* n^2-1 *while*

$$dim\ \mathfrak{g}_k^2 = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } k \neq 0 \mod n \\ n-1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

4.2 Lie algebra decompositions. Let

$$V_n = \bigoplus_{j=-n+1}^{-1} \mathfrak{g}_j^2 = \{a : a = \sum_{k=-n+1}^{-1} a_k J_\lambda^k, \quad a_k \in \mathcal{D}\}.$$

It is easily seen that dim $V_n = n(n-1)$ and that

$$V_n = \mathcal{U}_- \oplus \mathcal{U}_+ \lambda^{-1}$$

where $\mathcal{U}_\pm$ are the subspaces of strictly upper and lower triangular matrices.

G and $\mathfrak{g}$ are contained in the linear space

$$B = \{a : a = \sum_k a_k J_\lambda^k,\ a_k \in \mathcal{D}\} = \{a : a = \sum_k g_k \lambda^k,\ g_k \in M_n(\mathbb{C})\}.$$

This space also has gradings relative to the two bases, as above. We define projections P_1 and P_2 (denoted respectively by P_+ and P^+ in [DS]) onto the subspaces of non-negative degree relative to these gradings by

$$P_1 a = \sum_{k\geq 0} g_k \lambda^k, \qquad P_2 a = \sum_{k\geq 0} a_k J_\lambda^k,$$

where

$$a = \sum_k g_k \lambda^k = \sum_k a_k J_\lambda^k.$$

We define a third projection on B as follows. Let D_1 be as in Theorem 2.2.10.

4.2.1 Theorem. *There is a projection* P_3 *whose image coincides with that of* P_1 *and whose kernel is given by*

$$ker\ P_3 = \{g : g = \sum_{k>0} e_k J_\lambda^{-k}, \quad e_k \in \mathcal{E}_k\}$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_k = \begin{cases} span\ [\ I,\ (\sigma - I)^{-1} D_1,\ \ldots\ ,\ (\sigma - I)^{-(k-1)} D_1], & k \leq n-1; \\ \mathcal{D} & k \geq n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We must show that every $a \in B$ can be written uniquely as $a = h + v$, where $v \in$ Im P_1 and h belongs to the subspace ker P_3 defined above. We may assume a is homogeneous with respect to the second grading. If $\deg_2 a \geq 0$, then $a \in$ Im P_1, and $a = v$. On the other hand, if $\deg_2 a \leq -n$, then $a \in$ ker P_3 and $a = h$.

That leaves elements in V_n. If deg $a = -j$ then $a = a_j J_\lambda^{-j}$ for some $a_j \in \mathcal{D}$. We must show that

where

$$\mathcal{F}_j = \{f : f = \mathrm{diag}(f_1, \ldots, f_n),\ f_1 = f_2 = \cdots = f_j = 0\}.$$

It is clear that $\dim \mathcal{F}_j = n - j$. We first prove that $\dim \mathcal{E}_j = j$ and so it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{E}_j \cap \mathcal{F}_j = \{0\}$. Suppose that

$$e = \omega_0 I + \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} \omega_r (\sigma - I)^{-r} D_1 = 0.$$

Then

$$(\sigma - I)^{(j-1)} e = \omega_1 (\sigma - I)^{j-2} D_1 + \cdots + \omega_{j-1} D_1 = 0.$$

It is easily checked that $(\sigma - I)^k D_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{n-k-1}$ for $k < n$; and this implies that $\omega_{j-1} = 0$. Applying $(\sigma - I)^{j-2}$ to e we find next that $\omega_{j-2} = 0$ and so forth. Hence all the coefficients are zero, and $\dim \mathcal{E}_j = j$.

Now let e be as given above and suppose that $e \in \mathcal{E}_j \cap \mathcal{F}_j$. We repeat the above argument. Applying $(\sigma - I)^{j-1}$ to e we obtain

$$(\sigma - I)^{(j-1)} e = \omega_1 (\sigma - I)^{j-2} D_1 + \cdots + \omega_{j-1} D_1 \in (\sigma - I)^{j-1} \mathcal{F}_j \subset \mathcal{F}_1.$$

Hence $\omega_{j-1} = 0$. Then we apply $(\sigma - I)^{j-2}$ to e, and find that $\omega_{j-2} = 0$ and so forth. Hence $e = 0$, and $\mathcal{E}_j \cap \mathcal{F}_j = \{0\}$. □

The decomposition (4.2.2) can be obtained by multiplying on the right by e_{kk} with $k < j$ and taking the trace. In that case we obtain the system of equations

$$\mathrm{tr}[e_{kk} a] = \omega_0 + \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} \omega_r \mathrm{tr}[e_{kk} (\sigma - I)^{-r} D_1], \quad 1 \le k \le j, \tag{4.2.3}$$

for the coefficients $\omega_0, \ldots, \omega_{j-1}$. For future reference we denote the matrix of coefficients of (4.2.3) by W.

The projection P_3 is obtained by splitting $V_n \subset \ker P_2$ into the direct sum of two subspaces. The dimension of $\ker P_3 \cap V_n$ is $n(n-1)/2$.

Let us set

$$\mathfrak{g}_j^- = \ker P_j \cap \mathfrak{g}, \qquad \mathfrak{g}_j^+ = \mathrm{im}\ P_j \cap \mathfrak{g}, \qquad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Then

4.2.4 Theorem.

i) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_j^- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_j^+$ *for* $j = 1, 2, 3$.
ii) $\mathfrak{g}_1^-, \mathfrak{g}_2^-, \mathfrak{g}_1^+, \mathfrak{g}_2^+$, *and* $\mathfrak{g}_3^+$ *are each Lie subalgebras of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *for all* n.
iii) $\mathfrak{g}_3^-$ *is a subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *for* $n = 2, 3$ *but not for* $n > 3$.

Proof. Statement i) follows from the fact that each P_j is a projection. That the kernel and range of P_1 are subalgebras is immediate from the definition. To prove the corresponding fact for P_2, note first that $J_\lambda b J_\lambda^{-1} = \sigma(b)$ for any diagonal matrix b. Then, for a and b diagonal,

$$[a J^j, b J^k] = (a\sigma^j(b) - b\sigma^k(a)) J^{j+k}$$

It follows that the kernel and range of P_2 are subalgebras, since they are the subspaces of elements spanned respectively by negative and positive powers of J_λ.

To prove iii) it suffices to consider the subspace

$$\mathfrak{g}_3^- \cap V_n = sp\{e_k J_\lambda^{-k},\ k = 0, \dots, n-1, \quad e_k \in \mathcal{E}_k\}$$

For $n = 2$, this subspace is spanned by J_λ^{-1}. Since $[J_\lambda^{-1},\ J_\lambda^{-1}] = 0$, it is trivial that $\mathfrak{g}_3^-$ is a subalgebra. For $n = 3$ one must also check the commutator

$$[J_\lambda^{-1}, (\sigma - I)^{-1} D_1 J_\lambda^{-2}] = -\sigma^{-1}(D_1) J_\lambda^{-3}.$$

The element on the right has degree -3 in the second grading. When $n = 3$ it automatically belongs to $\mathfrak{g}_3^-$, since in that case no further constraint is placed on the diagonal matrix multiplying J_λ^{-3}.

For $n \geq 4$, however, the condition that it belong to $\mathfrak{g}_3^-$ is

$$\sigma^{-1} D_1 = \alpha I + \beta(\sigma - I)^{-1} D_1 + \gamma(\sigma - I)^{-2} D_1$$

for some complex constants α, β, γ. Operating on this equation by $\sigma(\sigma - I)^2$, we find

$$(\sigma^2(1 - \beta) + (\beta - 2 - \gamma)\sigma + 1) D_1 = 0.$$

It is not hard to see, from the definition of D_1, that these equations are inconsistent. Thus $\mathfrak{g}_3^-$ is not a subalgebra when $n \geq 4$. $\square$

4.3 Lie group decompositions. The above Lie algebra decompositions have their counterparts on the group level. Let

$$G_j^+ = \{g : g \in G,\ g \in \mathrm{Im} P_j\}, \tag{4.3.1}$$

$$G_j^- = \{g : g \in G,\ g - I \in \mathrm{Ker} P_j\}. \tag{4.3.2}$$

Then:

$$G_1^- = \{g : \det g = 1, \quad g = \sum_{j \leq 0} g_j \lambda^j, \quad g_0 = I\}$$

$$G_1^+ = \{g : \det g = 1, \quad g = \sum_{j \geq 0} g_j \lambda^j\},$$

$$G_2^- = \{g : \det g = 1, \quad g = \sum_{j \leq 0} g_j J_\lambda^j, \quad g_j \in \mathcal{D}, \quad g_0 = I\}$$

$$G_2^+ = \{g : \det g = 1, \quad g = \sum_{j \geq 0} g_j J_\lambda^j, \quad g_j \in \mathcal{D}\},$$

and

$$G_3^- = \{g : \det g = 1, \quad g = \sum_{j \leq 0} g_j J_\lambda^j, \quad g_j \in \mathcal{E}_j, \quad g_0 = I\}$$

$$G_3^+ = G_1^+$$

4.3.3 Theorem.

i) $G_3^+ = G_1^+$.
ii) G_2^+ *is a Lie subgroup of* G_1^+ *and* G_1^- *is a Lie subgroup of* G_2^-.
iii) G_j^- *and* G_j^+, $j = 1, 2$ *are Banach Lie groups with Lie algebras* $\mathfrak{g}_j^-$ *and* $\mathfrak{g}_j^+$.
iv) G_3^- *is a group only for* $n = 2, 3$.

This theorem is proved in the same manner as Theorem 4.2.5; the demonstration is left to the reader.

We are now ready to state the main theorem of this section:

4.3.4 Theorem. *For each of the three projections* P_j *there is a an open dense subset* $\Omega_j \subset G$ *such that each* $g \in \Omega_j$ *has a unique factorization*

$$g = (\phi_j^-)^{-1}\phi_j^+, \quad \phi_j^\pm \in G_j^\pm.$$

Proof. For $j = 1$ this is the well-known Riemann-Hilbert factorization whose proof is given in Theorem 3.1.6. Now suppose $g \in \Omega_1$ admits such a factorization with $\phi^\pm \in G_1^\pm$. We write

$$\phi^+(\lambda) = v\hat{\phi}^+(\lambda), \quad \hat{\phi}^+(0) = I, \quad v = \phi_+(0).$$

Let $SL^\circ(n)$ denote the subset of v in $SL(n)$ which have the factorization $v = \ell^{-1}u$ where $\ell = I + \textit{strictly lower}$ and u is an upper triangular matrix. As is well known, $SL^\circ(n)$ is a dense open subset of $SL(n)$. When $v \in SL^\circ(n)$, g factors as

$$g = (\ell\phi^-)^{-1}(u\hat{\phi}^+),$$

where $\ell\phi^- \in G_2^-$ and $u\hat{\phi}^+ \in G_2^+$.

The domain Ω_2 consists of all $g \in \Omega_1$ for which $v = \phi^+(0) \in SL^\circ(n)$. Since Ω_2 is the inverse image of $SL^\circ(n)$ under the continuous mapping $g \to v$, it is open. To show that Ω_2 is dense in Ω_1, consider $g \in \Omega_1 \backslash \Omega_2$. From (3.1.8),

$$v = g_0 + \sum_{j<0} \phi_j g_{-j}.$$

Let $\dot{g}$ denote a tangent vector at g to a curve in G. For those curves for which $\dot{g}$ is independent of λ, $\dot{v} = \dot{g}_0$. The set of such tangent vectors is transversal to $SL(n)\backslash SL^\circ(n)$; for example, it contains all strictly triangular matrices. So every neighborhood of g contains points in Ω_2.

The construction of the third factorization is more involved. We begin by proving:

4.3.5 Lemma. *Given* a *in* G_1^- *or* G_2^- *there is a unique constant lower triangular matrix* ℓ *with 1's on the diagonal such that* $\ell a \in G_3^-$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the lemma for $a \in G_2^-$, since G_1^- is a subgroup of G_2^-. Let

$$a = \sum_{}^{\infty} a_k J_\lambda^{-k}, \quad a_k \in \mathcal{D}, \ a_0 = I.$$

Any constant lower triangular ℓ with 1's on the diagonal can be written as

$$\ell = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_j J_\lambda^{-j}, \quad f_0 = I,\ f_j \in \mathcal{F}_j.$$

It follows that

$$\ell a = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} f_j \sigma^{-j}(a_{k-j}) \right) J_\lambda^{-k}$$

where we set $f_j = 0$ for $j \geq n$. Thus $\ell a \in G_3^-$ if and only if

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} f_j \sigma^{-j}(a_{k-j}) \in \mathcal{E}_k, \qquad k = 0, \ldots, n-1.$$

Writing these equations out we get

$$\begin{gathered} f_1 + a_1 = e_1 \\ f_2 + f_1 \sigma^{-1}(a_1) + a_2 = e_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_{n-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} f_k \sigma^{-k}(a_{n-k-1}) + a_{n-1} = e_{n-1}. \end{gathered}$$

But these decompositions are precisely those obtained in (4.2.2). Given a_1 the first equation may be solved uniquely for f_1 and e_1. Then f_2 and e_2 are uniquely determined from a_1 and a_2 from the second equation, and so forth. □

We now return to the proof of Theorem 4.3.4. We set $\Omega_3 = \Omega_1$. Given $g \in \Omega_1$, let $g = \phi_1^- \phi_1^+$. By the preceding lemma there exists a unique lower triangular matrix ℓ with 1's on the diagonal such that $\ell\phi_1^- \in G_3^-$. Note that left multiplication by a constant matrix of determinant 1 leaves $G_1^+ = G_3^+$ invariant; and therefore

$$g = (\ell\phi_1^-)^{-1}(\ell\phi_1^+), \qquad \ell\phi_1^\pm \in G_3^\pm$$

is the desired factorization.

For $j = 1, 2$, the uniqueness of the factorizations is a simple consequence of the fact that G_j^- and G_j^+ are groups and $G_j^- \cap G_j^+ = \{I\}$. For $j = 3$, however, G_3^- is not a group for $n > 3$, and we have to argue differently.

Let us assume therefore that $g = (\phi_3^-)^{-1}\phi_3^+ = (\tilde{\phi}_3^-)^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_3^+$. Let ℓ, respectively $\tilde{\ell}$ denote the values of ϕ_3^- and $\tilde{\phi}_3^-$ at infinity; ℓ and $\tilde{\ell}$ are lower triangular matrices with 1's on the diagonal. Writing $\phi_3^- = \ell v_3$ and $\tilde{\phi}_3^- = \ell\tilde{v}_3$, we have

$$g = v_3^{-1}(\ell^{-1}\phi_3^+) = \tilde{v}_3^{-1}(\tilde{\ell}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_3^+), \tag{4.3.6}$$

where now

$$v_3,\ \tilde{v}_3 \in G_1^-, \qquad \ell^{-1}\phi_3^+,\ \tilde{\ell}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_3^+ \in G_1^+.$$

The factorization (4.3.6) of g is of type 1, and is therefore unique, by what we have already proved, so $v_3 = \tilde{v}_3$. By Lemma 4.3.5 there is a unique matrix ℓ such that such that $\ell v_3 = \ell\tilde{v}_3 \in G_3^-$. Thus $\ell = \tilde{\ell}$, $\phi_3^- = \tilde{\phi}_3^-$, and $\phi_3^+ = \tilde{\phi}_3^+$. □

5. Nonlinear Flows

5.1 The Gel'fand-Dikii flows. In the preceeding section we introduced three different factorizations of elements of G. These three factorizations lead to the Gel'fand-Dikii, modified and "potential" Gel'fand-Dikii flows. In this section we discuss the construction of these different flows from the different factorizations. We begin with the Gel'fand-Dikii flows themselves.

5.1.1 Theorem. *Let g belong to G and let $m_\pm$ be the solutions of the factorization problem*

$$e^{i(xJ_\lambda+tJ_\lambda^k)}g(\lambda)e^{-i(xJ_\lambda+tJ_\lambda^k)} = m_-^{-1}(x,t,\lambda)m_+(x,t,\lambda) \tag{5.1.2}$$

where $m_\pm \in G_3^\pm$. Then

$$(Dm)m^{-1} + mJ_\lambda m^{-1} = J_\lambda + q, \qquad m = m_\pm, \tag{5.1.3}$$

where $q = q(x,t) \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfies the Gel'fand-Dikii equations for (k,n)

Proof. By the results of §3 and Theorem 4.3.4 the factors $m_\pm$ of (5.1.2) are meromorphic functions of x for fixed t and of t for fixed x. By the arguments of §3.2, $(Dm)m^{-1} + mJ_\lambda m^{-1}$, where m is the sectionally holomorphic function $m_\pm$, is an entire function of λ.

Since $m_- \in G_3^-$,

$$m_- = \sum_{j\geq 0} m_j J_\lambda^{-j}, \qquad m_j \in \mathcal{E}_j.$$

In particular, $m_- \sim I + \ell$, as $\lambda \to \infty$, where ℓ is strictly lower triangular. Since ℓ depends on x, $(Dm_-)m_-^{-1}$ is bounded as $\lambda \to \infty$ while

$$\begin{aligned} m_- J_\lambda m_-^{-1} - J_\lambda =& [m_-, J_\lambda]m_-^{-1} \\ =& \left(\sum_{j\geq 0}(m_j - \sigma(m_j))J_\lambda^{1-j}\right) m_-^{-1} \\ =& \left(\sum_{j\geq 2}(m_j - \sigma(m_j))J_\lambda^{1-j}\right) m_-^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The above expression is bounded as $\lambda \to \infty$, and therefore so is

$$(Dm_-)m_-^{-1} + m_- J_\lambda m_-^{-1} - J_\lambda.$$

It follows by Liouville's theorem that this expression is independent of λ.

Let us call it $q(x,t)$. From the expansion for m_- we conclude that q may be expanded as

$$q = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q_k J_\lambda^{-k},$$

where $q_k \in \mathcal{E}_k$ since q is independent of λ.

Writing (5.1.3) in the form $Dm + mJ_\lambda = (J_\lambda + q)m$ and expanding q and m in powers of J_λ, we obtain a sequence of recursion relations for q_k (noting that $m_0 = I$):

$$Dm_k + (I - \sigma)(m_{k+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} q_j \sigma^{-j}(m_{k-j}) = q_0 m_k + \cdots + q_k, \tag{5.1.4}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Since $m_- \in G_3$, $m_1 = \omega_{10} I$, and $q_0 = (I - \sigma)(m_1) = 0$. From (5.1.4) we get for $k = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 =& m_1 + (I - \sigma)(m_2) \\ =& D\omega_{10} I - (\sigma - I)(\omega_{20} I + \omega_{21}(\sigma - I)^{-1} D_1 \\ =& D\omega_{10} I - \omega_{21} D_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $q_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$ its first entry vanishes, so $\omega_{21} = D\omega_{10}$, and

$$q_1 = nD\omega_{10} e_{nn}.$$

We now prove, by induction, that in fact q_j is a scalar multiple of e_{nn} for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Assume this is true for all integers less than j. Since the m_s are diagonal matrices, $q_1 m_{j-1} + \cdots + q_{j-1} m_1$ on the right side of (5.1.4) is a scalar multiple of e_{nn}, so we need to prove that

$$Dm_j + (I - \sigma)(m_{j+1}) = d_j e_{nn} \tag{5.1.5}$$

for some constant d_j. Since $q_j \in \mathcal{F}_j$ the right side of (5.1.4) belongs to $\mathcal{F}_j$, for $k = j$, and so

$$\text{tr } e_{ss}[Dm_j + (I - \sigma)(m_{j+1})] = 0, \quad s \leq j. \tag{5.1.6}$$

Writing

$$m_j = \omega_{j0} I + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \omega_{jk} (\sigma - I)^{-k} D_1,$$

$$m_{j+1} = \omega_{j+1,0} I + \sum_{k=1}^{j} \omega_{j+1,k} (\sigma - I)^{-k} D_1,$$

we find, after some calculations, that (5.1.6) reduces to the linear system of equations

$$\text{tr } e_{ss}(D\omega_{j0} I - \omega_{j+1,1} D_1) + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (D\omega_{jk} - \omega_{j+1,k+1}) tr\ e_{ss}(\sigma - I)^{-k} D_1 = 0, \quad s \leq j.$$

This is the homogeneous system of equations corresponding to (4.2.3); and as we saw in the proof of Theorem 4.2.1, that system has a unique solution. Therefore $D\omega_{j0} = \omega_{j+1,1}$,

$$Dm_j + (I - \sigma)(m_{j+1}) = nD\omega_{j0} e_{nn} = 0.$$

and (5.1.5) is proved.

We now prove that q satisfies the (n,k) Gel'fand-Dikii equations. Since G_3^- is not a group, we cannot use the Lie algebra decomposition that worked for the AKNS hierarchy. Instead, we argue as follows. The solution of the factorization problem satisfies the simultaneous equations (3.2.9a,b). Therefore we have

$$(D - J_\lambda - q)\psi = 0, \qquad (D_t - G_k)\psi = 0, \tag{5.1.7}$$

where $G_k = J_\lambda^k + B_{n,k}$ is the right side of (3.2.9b), and $\psi = m\exp\{xJ_\lambda + tJ_\lambda^k\}$. From the first equation we see that ψ is a Wronskian: $\psi = ||D^{j-1}v_{i-1}||,\ i,j = 1,\dots,n$, and that the entries of the first row of ψ satisfy $Lv = \lambda v$. If x_0 is a regular point of q, then these equations are also satisfied by $\tilde\psi = \psi(x,\lambda)\psi^{-1}(x_0,\lambda)$, and $\tilde\psi(x_0,\lambda) = I$. We shall henceforth assume this is the case and drop the tilde.

By the second equation each v_j satisfies

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \sum_{j=1}^n G_{1j}D^{j-1}v$$

where the G_{1j} denote the entries of the first row of G_k. Since $Lv_j = \lambda v_j$, we can replace $\lambda^s v$ by $L^s v$. The result is that each v_j satisfies an equation of the form $\dot v_j = P_k v_j$ where $P_k = D^k + \dots$ is a differential operator of order k and is independent of λ. The simultaneous equations $Lv_j = \lambda v_j$, and $\dot v_j = P_k v_j$ imply that $(\dot L - [P_k, L])v_j = 0$; and moreover, $D^m v_j(x_0,z) = \delta_{jm}$ for $0 \le j,m \le n-1$. Let

$$[P_k, L] = \sum_{j=0}^k r_j(x)D^j.$$

Then

$$0 = \Big(\dot L - [P_k,L]\Big)v_j(x_0,z) = \dot u_j(x_0) - r_j(x_0) - \sum_{m=n}^k r_m(x_0)D^m v_j(x_0,z),$$

where the sum over $m \ge n$ is vacuous if $k < n$. Since derivatives of v of order n or greater can be replaced by λ, the summation is a polynomial in λ of degree at least one. Since the above equation holds identically in λ, we conclude that the summation vanishes identically, $[P_k, L]$ is of order less than n, and $\dot L - [P_k, L]$ vanishes at x_0. Since x_0 was arbitrary, it vanishes at all regular points of q.

This implies that $[P_k, L]$ is of order $n-2$. It follows (cf. Proposition 2.3 [DS]) that the coefficients of P_k are differential polynomials of the coefficients of L and that P_k is a linear combination of the operators $L_+^{j/n}$ for $j \le k$:

$$P_k = \sum_{j=1,\ j\ne \ell n}^k c_j(L^{j/n})_+,$$

for some constants c_j.

To show that P_k is precisely $L_+^{k/n}$, it suffices to prove that all the $c_j,\ j < k$, are zero. We do this by showing that for $j < k$ the coefficient of D^j in P_k is a differential polynomial in the entries of q with zero constant term. The coefficients of P_k come from the first row of G_k, and this matrix is in turn given by (3.2.9b). From the lower triangular structure of the leading term of $m \in G_3^-$, the first row of G_k is identical to that of $P_1(mJ_\lambda^k m^{-1})$. But the entries of $mJ_\lambda^k m^{-1}$ are differential polynomials in q with zero constant terms by Theorem 3.2.6. $\square$

5.2 The Modified Gel'fand-Dikii flows. The so-called modified Gel'fand-Dikii flows are a special case of the AKNS hierarchy, and are defined as follows. Writing $L = (D + u_n)(D + u_{n-1}) \cdots (D + u_1)$, the equation $Lv = \lambda v$ can be written as a first order system

$$(D - J_\lambda + q)\psi = 0$$

where q is the diagonal matrix with entries $u_1, \ldots, u_n$. ($\operatorname{tr} q = 0$ since the coefficient of D^{n-1} vanishes.)

Recall (§2.1) that $\Lambda_z^{-1} J_\lambda \Lambda_z = z J_\alpha$, where J_α is diagonal. Since q is diagonal, $\Lambda_z^{-1} q \Lambda_z = \tilde{q}$ is independent of z. Hence we can write the isospectral problem as

$$(D - zJ_\alpha + \tilde{q})\tilde{\psi} = 0.$$

This is the isospectral problem for an AKNS system, whose flows we constructed in §3.2.

Working in the original basis, we obtain the (n, k) modified Gel'fand-Dikii flow as $[D_x, D_t] = 0$, where

$$D_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - J_\lambda + q, \qquad D_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - [F^k]_+,$$

$F = m J_\lambda m^{-1}$, and $[\cdot]_+$ denotes the projection P_2, i.e. the projection onto non-negative powers of J_λ.

The modified Gel'fand Dikii flows are obtained from the second factorization:

5.2.1 Theorem. *Let $g \in G$ and let $m_\pm$ be the holomorphic solutions to the factorization problem*

$$e^{i(xJ_\lambda + tJ_\lambda^k)} g e^{-i(xJ_\lambda + tJ_\lambda^k)} = m_-^{-1} m_+, \qquad m_\pm \in G_2^\pm.$$

Then

$$q(x,t) = (Dm)m^{-1} + mJ_\lambda m^{-1} - J_\lambda$$

is a diagonal matrix of trace zero and satisfies the modified Gel'fand-Dikii equations for (k,n).

Proof. We repeat the first part of the proof of Theorem 5.1.1. Again we may conclude that

$$(Dm)m^{-1} + mJ_\lambda m^{-1} - J_\lambda \tag{5.2.2}$$

is an entire function of λ, where m is the sectionally meromorphic function defined by $m_+,\ m_-$ in the regions $|\lambda| < \rho$, $|\lambda| > \rho$ respectively. This time

$$m_- = \sum_{j \geq 0} m_j J_\lambda^{-j}, \quad m_0 = I,\ m_j \in \mathcal{D}. \tag{5.2.3}$$

Again $m_- = I + \ell + O(\lambda^{-1})$ as $\lambda \to \infty$ and so the expression in (5.2.2) is bounded as λ tends to infinity. As before, we denote it by q, where q depends only on x and t. Inserting (5.2.3) into (5.2.2), we obtain q as the constant term in

$$\begin{aligned} & m_- J_\lambda m_-^{-1} \\ &= (I + m_1 J_\lambda^{-1} + \ldots) J_\lambda (I - m_1 J_\lambda^{-1} + \ldots) - J_\lambda \\ &= m_- J_\lambda m_-^{-1} - (I + m_1 J_\lambda^{-1} + \ldots)(m_1 + \ldots) + \end{aligned}$$

where the dots denote negative powers of J_λ. Thus

$$q = (I - \sigma)(m_1) \in \mathcal{D}_0.$$

To show that q satisfies the modified Gel'fand-Dikii (n, k) flow, we consider the connection $D - J_\lambda - q,\ D_t - v_k$, where v_k is given by the right side of (3.2.9b). As in the proof of Theorem 3.2.6, this connection is flat. This time G_2^- is a group, so we obtain the Lie-algebra decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} v_k =& P_2\left[(D_t\tilde{m})\tilde{m}^{-1} + \tilde{m}J_\lambda^k\tilde{m}^{-1}\right] \\ =& P_2(\tilde{m}J_\lambda^k\tilde{m}^{-1}) \\ =& \sum_{j=0}^{k} F_j J_\lambda^{k-j}. \end{aligned}$$

By conjugating by the constant matrix Λ_α everything is expanded in powers of zJ_α, (F_j is diagonal, so it commutes with $d(z)$) and we see that this is precisely the k^{th} AKNS flow. $\square$

5.3 The "potential" Gel'fand-Dikii flows. Factorizations in $G_1^\pm$ lead to a third structure for the potential. This time $m \in G_1^-$ and so has the expansion

$$m = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{m_j}{\lambda^j}, \quad m_0 = I.$$

The arguments of the previous section are repeated, this time using the projection P_1. From (5.2.2) we obtain

$$P_1((Dm)m^{-1} + mJ_\lambda m^{-1}) = P_1(mJ_\lambda m^{-1}) = J_\lambda + [m_1, e_{n1}]$$

and one finds that

$$q = \begin{pmatrix} m_{1n} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ m_{2n} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & \vdots & & \\ m_{nn} - m_{11} & -m_{12} & \dots & -m_{1n} \end{pmatrix},$$

where m_{jk} are the entries of the coefficient matrix m_1. The dressing argument goes through as in the previous section. The following is related to the general theory of Drinfeld and Sokolov. As they show, all reductions of the n^{th} order equation $Lv = \lambda v$ to a first order system are related by a gauge transformation, and the entries of L are differential polynomials in the coefficients the potential.

5.3.1 Theorem. *The entries of q are differential polynomials of precisely $n - 1$ independent functions.*

We first need to prove the following lemma.

5.3.2 Lemma. *For $m \in G_1^-$ we have the representation*

$$m = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m_j J_\lambda^{-j}, \qquad m_0 = I,\ m_j \in \mathcal{D} \tag{5.3.3}$$

where the diagonal matrices $m_1, \dots, m_n$ satisfy the additional constraints

$$tr\, m_j e_{kk} = 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1;\ k \geq j+1 \tag{5.3.4}$$

$$tr\, m_j = 0 \text{ for } j = 0 \bmod n. \tag{5.3.5}$$

Proof. From the form of J_λ^{-1}, namely

$$J_\lambda^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & & \lambda^{-1} \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we see that the constraints (5.3.4) are necessary and sufficient that the constant term in m be the identity matrix. The constraint $tr\ m_n = 0$ is a consequence of the condition $\det\ m = 1$. $\square$

Now write q in the form

$$q = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q_j J_\lambda^{-j}$$

where

$$q_0 = u_0(e_{11} - e_{nn}), \quad q_j = u_j(e_{jj} - e_{nn}) + v_j(e_{jj} + e_{nn}), \quad q_{n-1} = v_{n-1}e_{nn}.$$

Substituting this form of q, together with the representation (5.3.3) into the equation $Dm = [J_\lambda,\ m] + qm$, we obtain the recursion relations

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 - \sigma(m_1) &= q_0, \\ Dm_1 + m_2 - \sigma(m_2) &= q_0 m_1 + q_1, \\ &\vdots \\ Dm_j + m_{j+1} - \sigma(m_{j+1}) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q_k \sigma^{-k}(m_{j-k}). \end{aligned}$$

We solve these equations recursively. From the first we get $m_1 = u_0 e_{11}$ and $q_0 = u_0(e_{11} - e_{nn})$. Taking the trace of the second equation we find $tr\ q_1 = 2v_1 = Du_0 - u_0^2$. With this choice of v_1 the diagonal matrix m_2 is uniquely determined by the second equation and the constraint (5.3.4). This process continues up to $j = n-2$. At each stage the parameters v_j are determined in terms of the preceeding $\dots$ and the m_{j+1} is uniquely determined.

The equation at $j = n-1$ is

$$Dm_{n-1} + m_n - \sigma(m_n) = q_0 m_{n-1} + \cdots + q_{n-1}. \tag{5.3.5}$$

The first $n-1$ rows of (5.3.5) plus the trace condition $tr\ m_n = 0$ uniquely determine the entries of m_n as differential polynomials in $u_j,\ v_j$ for $j = 0, \ldots, n-2$ The last row of (5.3.5) then determines v_{n-1}

We thus see that the entries of q are differential polynomials of the functions $u_0, \ldots, u_{n-1}$. □

For $n = 2, 3$ we get

$$q = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ Du - u^2 & -u \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} u_0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{u_1 + (D-u_0)u_0}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ (D-u_0)u_1 & \frac{-u_1 + (D-u_0)u_0}{2} & -u_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This class of potentials leads to a third class of flows, the "potential Gel'fand-Dikii" flows. These flows may be obtained as a reduction of the potential Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy [Sz].

References

B. R. Beals, *The inverse problem for ordinary differential operators on the line*, American Journal of Mathematics **107** (1985), 281-366.

BDT R. Beals, P. Deift, and C. Tomei, *Direct and Inverse Scattering on the Line*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1989.

BS1 R. Beals, and D.H. Sattinger, *Action-angle variables for the Gel'fand-Dikii flows*, Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Physik, **43** (1992).

BS2 ———, *Integrable Systems and Isomonodromy Deformations*, preprint (1992).

D. J. Dorfmeister, *Banach manifolds of solutions to nonlinear partial differential equations, and relations with finite dimensional manifolds*, Differential Geometry (R.E.Greene, S.-T.Yau, eds.), American Math Society.

DZ. P. Deift and X. Zhou, *Inverse scattering for nth order differential operators*, Communications of Pure and Applied Mathematics **54** (1991), 485-533.

DS. V.G. Drinfel'd and V.V. Sokolov, *Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg-deVries type*, Journal of Soviet Mathematics **30** (1985), 1975-2036.

GD. I.M. Gelfand, and L.A. Dikii, *Fractional powers of operators and Hamiltonian systems*, Functional Analysis and its Applications. **10** (1976), 259-273.

GK. I.C. Gohberg and M.G. Krein, *Systems of integral equations on a half line with kernels depending on the difference of arguments*, 217-284; American Math. Soc. Transl. **14** (1960), 217-287.

K. V. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 1985.

KW B.A. Kuperschmidt and G. Wilson, *Modifying Lax equations and the second Hamiltonian structure*, Invent. Math. **62** (1981), 403-436.

Mal B. Malgrange, *La Classification des connexions irregulieres a une variable*, Université de Grenoble, Grenoble, France, 1982.

M. T. Miwa, *Painlevé property of monodromy preserving equations and the analyticity of the τ function*, Publ. R.I.M.S. Kyoto **17** (1981,), 703-721.

PS. A. Pressley and G. Segal, *Loop groups*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, U.K., 1986.

Sch. R. Schilling, *A loop algebra decomposition for Korteweg-deVries equations*, Integrable and Superintegrable Systems (B. Kupershmidt, eds.), World Scientific Press, Singapore, 1990.

SW. G. Segal and G. Wilson, *Loop groups and equations of KdV type*, Publications I.H.E.S. **61** (1985).

Si. B. Simon, *Trace ideals and their applications*, vol. 35, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 1979, pp. Cambridge University Press.

Sz. J. Szmigielski, *Infinite dimensional homogeneous manifolds with translational symmetry and nonlinear partial differential equations*, Disseration, University of Georgia-Athens, Ga., 1987.

ZS. V.E. Zakharov and A. Shabat, *Integration of nonlinear equations of mathematical physics by the method of the inverse scattering, II*, Funktsional'nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniay **13** (1979), 13-22; Functional Analysis and its Applications.